**SBGco Connect** -S B Gabhawalla & Co Chartered Accountants May 2021



Greetings to all our readers!!

We wish that we find you in good health & spirits.

Our country is currently experiencing the second wave of the pandemic with skyrocketing number of cases. For this reason, the State Government has already announced the lockdown from mid-April. It therefore becomes more important for all of us to strictly follow the guidelines issued by the Authorities and keep yourself and your near and dear ones safe. The SC has also proactively, invoked its' powers under Article 142 read with Article 141 of the Constitution of India and restored the order issued in March 2020, extending the limitation period until further orders.

S. B. GABHAWALLA & CO.

The Union Government has also issued few notifications announcing various relaxations in respect of compliances under the GST. The same has been discussed in detail in the <u>What's New?</u> section of this Newsletter.

Through this newsletter, we bring to you a summary of recent developments in GST, divided into following sections:

- 1. <u>What's New?</u>
- 2. <u>Recent decisions from the Judiciary</u>
- 3. <u>Recent Advance Rulings and analysis of the same</u>
- 4. <u>Compliance Chart for the month of May 2021</u>

We would like to remind our readers that in view of the current Pandemic Situation and the recent Lockdown announced by the Maharashtra Government, our offices are currently closed. We strive to continue the workflow from respective homes to the best possible extent. Our entire team is available over phone calls / emails to serve you from our homes to the extent possible.

We look forward to hearing from you for any feedback or suggestion for improvements. Team SBGco



What's New?

1. Filing of GST Returns with Email / Mobile OTP option enabled for all.

The Government has enabled the EVC (OTP) option for filing of GST returns during the period 27.04.2021 to 31.05.2021 for companies registered under Companies Act, 2013

#### SBGco Views:

This is a welcome move by the Department to ensure that filing of GST returns is not hampered during the ongoing pandemic situation across the country on account of unavailability of the digital signature.

#### Notification No 07/2021 - Central Tax dated 27.04.2021

#### 2. GSTR - 1 / Invoice Furnishing Facility (IFF) relaxation

The last date to submit invoice level details in the monthly returns has been extended as follows:

Return	Period	Due date	Notification No
GSTR – 1	April' 21	26.05.2021	12/2021-CT dt. 01.05.2021
IFF	April' 21	28.05.2021	13/2021-CT dt. 01.05.2021

#### Notification No 12/2021 - Central Tax dated 01.05.2021

# 3. GSTR – 3B late fee waiver

Late Fees for filing of GSTR – <sub>3</sub>B has been waived provided the same has been filed within the revised due dates as per the table below:

Period	Last date to file GSTR - 3B without late fees			
(a) Having agg	(a) Having aggregate T/o > 5 Cr in preceding FY - Monthly			
March' 21	15 days from the existing due date of furnishing			
April' 21	respective returns			
(b) Having aggregate T/o < 5 Cr in preceding FY – Monthly				
March' 21 30 days from the existing due date of				
April' 21 furnishing respective returns				
(c) Having agg	(c) Having aggregate T/o < 5 Cr in preceding FY – Quarterly			
Jan to	30 days from the existing due date of			
March' 21 furnishing return				

This waiver of late fee has been given retrospective effect w.e.f. 20.04.2021 vide **Notification No 09/2021 – CT dated 01.05.2021**.

#### SBGco Views:

It may be noted that the due date of filing the GSTR 3B Return has not been extended. It is only that the late fees have been waived and the interest rate has been reduced – which is explained in the subsequent point.

# 4. Relaxation for Interest payable with GSTR - 3B

Interest payable for of GSTR – 3B has been eased as under:

Period	Interest Payable	
(a) Having aggregate T/o > 5 Cr in preceding FY - Monthly		
March' 21	First 15 days from the existing due date @ 9%	
April' 21	Thereafter @ 18%	



Period	Interest Payable	
(b) Having aggr	regate T/o < 5 Cr in preceding FY - Monthly	
March' 21	First 15 days from the existing due date – NIL	
April' a	Next 15 days @ 9%	
April' 21	Thereafter @ 18%	
(c) Having aggr	regate T/o < 5 Cr in preceding FY - Quarterly	
Jan to	First 15 days from the existing due date – NIL	
March' 21	Next 15 days @ 9%	
	Thereafter @ 18%	

This relaxation of interest payable has been given retrospective effect w.e.f. 18.04.2021 vide Notification No 08/2021 – CT dated 01.05.2021.

#### 5. Rule 36(4) compliance relaxation

ITC claim for the Month of April' 21 and May' 21, cumulatively should not exceed 5% of the total eligible ITC claim for both the months put together i.e., cumulative effect of Rule 36(4) compliance to be given in the GSTR - 3B of May' 21.

#### Notification No 13/2021 - CT dated 01.05.2021

#### 6. Other Relaxations

- i. Due date for filing GSTR 4 for FY 2020-21 (for Composition scheme taxpayers) has been extended to 31.05.2021 (NN 10/2021 CT dated 01.05.2021)
- ii. Due date for filing of ITC-04 for the quarter of Jan-Mar' 21 extended from 25.04.2021 to 31.05.2021 (NN 11/2021 – CT dated 01.05.2021)

iii. General extension of time limits as under:

S. B. GABHAWALLA & CO.

a. Where due date for completion of any compliance, filing of appeal, furnishing any document, etc. by any person or completion of any proceeding or passing of any order or issuance of any notice, intimation, etc by any authority falls between 15.04.2021 to 30.05.2021 has been extended to 31.05.2021

(The said relaxation is not applicable to provisions related to availment of ITC, filing of returns, generation of E-way bills, issuance of tax invoices, debit notes and credit notes)

- b. Where due date for completion of any compliance by any person or by any authority in relation to Registration process as provided in Rule 9 of CGST Rules, falls between 01.05.2021 to 31.05.2021 has been extended to 15.06.2021
- c. W.r.t. issuance of order in relation to issuance of notices for rejection of refund claims, the due date has been extended to fifteen days after the receipt of reply to the notice from the registered person or 31.05.2021, whichever is later

#### Notification No. 14/2021 - CT dated 01.05.2021

#### SBGco Views:

The relaxations have been provided from compliances falling during April' 21 and May' 21 only with some notification giving retrospective effect for due dates already passed. Hopefully, maximum registered persons can take benefit of these relaxations and waivers and the compliance processes are streamlined at the earliest.



# **Recent Decisions from the Judiciary**

Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
M/s. DY Beathel	The petitioner, a trader in Raw Rubber	The Hon'ble High Court held that once it	This is a welcome judgement
Enterprises vs.	Sheets, had made certain purchases from	has come to light that the vendor has	where the Court has held that
State Tax Officer,	a vendor to whom payment was made	collected tax from the purchasing dealers,	before recovering any amount
Tirunelveli	along with GST component. The vendors	the omission on the part of the vendor to	from the recipient of supply on
	were dealers registered with the very	remit the tax in question must be viewed	account of non-compliance of
2021-TIOL-890-	same assessment circle itself. During	very seriously and strict action ought to be	supplier, it is important that
HC-MAD-GST	enquiry, it came to light that the said	initiated against the said vendor.	actions should be taken against
	vendor had not paid any tax to the		such erring suppliers including
	Government. But the said petitioner had	Only after efforts have been undertaken to	for recovery of tax not paid. It has
	claimed the input tax credit for the said	recover the outstanding dues from such	come at the correct time since
	purchases. The Respondents passed an	erring supplier can the Revenue seek to	Department has already started
	order for recovery of the said input tax	recover the same from the recipient of such	issuing notices to the recipients
	credit claimed by petitioner without	suppliers. Following this principle, the	without verifying whether the
	questioning / involving the vendors.	Court further quashed the Order for	vendor has defaulted in payment
	Hence, the petitioners approached the	recovery from the recipient of supply for	of taxes or not or taking any
	High Court with the current writ petition.	following reasons:	action for recovery of tax from
		a. Non-examination of vendor in the enquiry	such erring suppliers.
		b. Non-initiation of recovery action	
		against the vendor in the first place	
		The Court further directed the Revenue to	
		proceed with a fresh enquiry and during	
		such enquiry, the said defaulting vendors	
		will have to be examined as witnesses along	
		with initiation of recovery action against	
		the said vendors.	



Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
Ace Creative	The Appellant is engaged in providing	The Hon'ble Tribunal while passing an	The Tribunal has very succinctly
Learning Private	taxable services of commercial training &	order in the favour of the Appellant held	distinguished between trading
Limited vs.	coaching services. The Department	that trading of goods is different from	and redemption which will have
Commissioner of	alleged that purchase and redemption of	'redemption' of mutual funds. Similarly,	ramifications even under GST.
Central Tax	various mutual fund units is 'trading'	the Appellant also did not have a license	The Tribunal has also noted the
	since securities are considered to be	from the SEBI to 'trade' in mutual funds.	key factor in investment being
2021-TIOL-241-	goods. Thereafter, the Department passed	The Hon'ble Tribunal also held that the	lack of 'service' aspect and that
CESTAT-BANG	an order that appellant had neither opted	Appellant cannot be termed as 'service	the said activity is done for
	nor followed the procedure prescribed	provider' because they are only 'investing'	oneself only and so 'investor'
	under Rule 6(3) of CCR, 2004 for reversal	in mutual funds to earn profits from the	cannot be said to be service
	of cenvat credit since, they are providing	same. Hence, the CESTAT held that	1
	taxable (commercial training & coaching)	provisions of Rule 6(3) of CCR, 2004	
	and exempt services (trading in mutual	demanding the reversal of credit on the	
	funds). The Commissioner Appeals also	exempted services cannot be invoked in the	1
	upheld the same and hence, the appellant	present case. W.r.t., extended period of	-
	filed an appeal before the Hon'ble	limitation, the CESTAT noted that	1
	Tribunal in this regard.	extended period cannot be invoked where	-
		the Revenue's case is based on Balance	mutual funds.
		Sheet and income return and other records	
		of the assessee when appellant has been	
		filing the returns and has provided all the	
		records to the Department during the	
		course of investigation.	



Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
BNP Paribas	The Petitioner is an exporter of services	While allowing the Writ Petition, the	This is a welcome judgement
Global Securities	and had an unutilized CENVAT credit	Hon'ble High Court relied on following key	from the Hon'ble HC. It is a
Operations Pvt	balance of Rs. 6,62,67,726/- in the	observations made by the Revenue while	practical difficulty which many
Ltd vs. Asst.	CENVAT credit ledger which was not	allowing the single refund application:	exporters with unutilized
Commissioner of	transitioned to GST. Three (3) Refund	a. The refund claim was filed by the	CENVAT credit balance have
GST and Central	applications were filed by the Appellant	petitioner within prescribed timelines.	faced while transitioning to GST
Excise	within the prescribed time limit as	b. The amount of CENVAT credit lying in	in respect of pending refund
	provided in Rule 5 of CENVAT Credit	balance as on 30/06/2017 was Rs.	claims. This judgement by the
2021-TIOL-908-	Rules, 2004 read with the relevant	6,62,67,726.	Hon'ble High Court would
HC-MAD-ST	notification in this regard. Since the	c. The refund amount was not carried	certainly put the controversy to
	refund applications were filed in the GST	forward into the Electronic Credit	rest.
	regime, the appellant could not debit the	Ledger of GST while filing form TRAN 1.	
	said amount of refund claim in the ST-3	Based on the above observations, the	
	return in 2 of the 3 refund applications	Hon'ble HC held that by not carrying	
	and on this ground itself, respondent	forward the balance of Rs. 6,62,67,726/-	
	revenue denied the refund claims in those	lying in CENVAT Account, the amount	
	2 applications. Being aggrieved by the said	claimed as refund can be construed to be	
	rejection of refund, the petitioner had	debited. The Hon'ble HC also noted that	
	filed writ petition before the Hon'ble High	legitimate export incentives given to	
	Court.	exporters of goods or service cannot be	
		denied merely because of intervening	
		changes. The Court further directed the	
		Revenue to grant the refund to the	
		petitioners within 6 weeks of receipt of the	
		present order.	



Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
Patel Labour	The Appellant is a Manpower Supply	The Hon'ble Tribunal noted that the	The Judgement again upholds the
Contractor Pvt	Service provider to various industrial	appellant filed ST-3 return periodically in	principle that in any issue which
Ltd vs. C.S.T	organizations. As per the agreement /	respect of service provided by them	involves interpretation of law,
Service Tax -	arrangement with their customers, the	declaring the value as per their bona fide	intention to evade tax with
Ahmedabad	appellant would charge 10% of the actual	belief. The Hon'ble CESTAT also took note	malafide intentions cannot be
	wages paid to the workers so supplied as	of the judgements by the Hon'ble Supreme	attributed to the assessee and
2021-VIL-162-	their service charges and on this 10%	Court in the case of Malabar Management	extended period of limitation
CESTAT-AHM-	service charge portion, service tax was	Services (2019-VIL-28-SC-ST) and	cannot be invoked. Hence, when
ST	collected and discharged. Show cause	Tribunal's own judgement in the case of	there are multiple interpretations
	notice, invoking extended period of	Modern Business Solution (2018-VIL-717-	involved or the issue has been
	limitation was issued to the Appellant for	CESTAT-AHM-ST) which confirm that the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	incorrect determination of the gross value	present issue is that of interpretation of law	0,
	of service on which tax was payable.	as regard valuation of services in question	*
		under the Finance Act, 1994 and therefore,	-
		mala-fide intention or suppression of fact	
		with intent to evade payment of service tax	
		cannot be attributed to the Appellant.	having a bonafide belief that tax
		Hence, on this ground itself, the Hon'ble	
	ļ	Tribunal held that extended period of	
		demand was not invokable. Since, the show	
		cause notice was issued after normal period	
	ļ	of limitation, the entire demand was set	
		aside by the Hon'ble Tribunal on the	
	ļ	ground that demand was time barred	
		without going into the merits of the case.	



Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
Bagadiya	The Petitioner is a business entity	The Hon'ble High Court of Orissa held that	As per Circular 103/22/2019 - GST
Brothers Private	registered under GST in Chhattisgarh	for the services in question, the nature of	dated 28.06.2019, the CBIC
Limited vs.	who is engaged in import and export	supply was that of supply service in the	clarified that services inside the
Commissioner of	business through various ports of India	course of inter-state trade or commerce in	port by the Port authorities are
Commercial Tax	including Paradip Port in Odisha. For	terms of section 7 (3) of the IGST Act.	ancillary to or related to cargo
and GST	certain services, the Paradip Port Trust	Further, the Judgement also relied on the	handling services and are not
	issued intra-state invoices (charging	Circular No. 103/22/2019-GST dated	
2021-VIL-307-ORI	CGST + SGST of Orissa), the credit of	28.06.2019 issued by the CBIC and directed	The judgement is a welcome one
	which was not available to the petitioner.	the Port to make amendment with respect	for importers and exporters who
	The CBIC had already clarified on the	to all the Petitioner's invoices from July	were losing out on ITC because of
	issue of determination of place of supply		incorrect classification of services
	on cargo handling services provided by		by the Port authorities.
	the Ports vide its Circular No.103/22/2019-	compliance of the provision of the IGST	
	GST dated 28.06.2019 but the same was	Act.	
	not implemented by the Paradip Port		
	Trust. The present writ petition was filed		
	by the petitioner seeking appropriate		
	direction to Paradip Port Trust for		
	issuance of Inter-state invoices.		



# **Recent Advance Rulings**

SPSS South Asia Pvt Ltd Ltd KAR ADRG 15/2021 = 2021-VIL-187-AAR Applicant is an authorized reseller of and had sought advance ruling in respect of the following questions: a. Does the supply of licenses for intermet downloaded software fall within the ambit of Notification No. 47/2077-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 14.1.2017? (concessional GST rate of 5% on scientific and technical equipment supplied institutions) Software and made available through and had sought advance ruling in respect of the following questions: a. Does the supply of licenses for intermet downloaded software fall within the ambit of Notification No. 47/2017-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 14.1.2017? (concessional GST rate of 5% on scientific and technical equipment supplied institutions) Software and must be loaded on a technical equipment supplied institutions Software" and more specifically covered under "Applicant is covered under "Supply of goods" and the said supply is covered under the said product to a public funded research institution.



Citation	Ruling sought on?	Gist of the Ruling	SBGco Views
Kalani Infrastructure	The Applicant had applied for an	The AAAR upheld the conclusion of	Both the AAR and AAAR has failed to
Pvt Ltd	Advance ruling on the issue of whether		appreciate the fact that the core
RAJ / AAAR / 7 / 2019-	the provision of hostel	various services supplied by the	service provided is that of Hostel
20 = 2021 - TIOL - 14 - 14	accommodation along with food	Applicant were not in the natural	Accommodation Service and other
AAAR-GST	facility, Play-Room, Gym,	course of business and therefore	services are merely incidental to the
	Housekeeping, Room Cleaning, etc.	classifiable as mixed supply.	provision of main service.
	would be eligible for exemption under entry 14 of notification 12/2017 – CT	notification would not be available.	
	(Rate) dated 28.06.2017? The	notification would not be available.	
	Authority had held that the said		
	services was a mixed supply and		
	therefore applicable to tax at 18% and		
	not eligible for exemption. The		
	Applicant had filed an appeal against		
	the said Ruling before the Appellate		
	Authority.		
Bishops Weed Food		The AAR has analysed that the	The AAR seems to have arrived at the
Crafts Pvt Ltd	business of provision of services by	applicant is not leasing / renting a	conclusion that the Applicant was
	way of leasing of residential units	8 8	engaged in providing accommodation
KAR ADRG 16/2021 =	(along with basic amenities such as	renting the individual bedroom to the	service and not renting of residential
2021-TIOL-111-AAR- GST	maintenance, security, and	occupants and hence the applicant's	dwelling merely because the
031	housekeeping) for use as residence to Tenants. Further, the Applicant also	service does not qualify to be a "Renting of Immovable Property"	Applicant has given the individual rooms on rent and not the entire
	provides leasing of residential units to	(HSN 997211) service as the property	residential dwelling.
	other service providers who are	leased or rented is not a residential	Merely providing additional amenities
	engaged in subletting the residential	dwelling but amounts to provision of	would not make the service as
	unit for use as residence to ultimate	accommodation services (HSN	Accommodation Services. Further,
	tenants. The Applicant had sought	996311). Accordingly, the AAR has held	the HSN 996311 is for accommodation
	ruling on the following questions:	as under:	services for "leisure, business or
	a. Whether leasing of property for use	a. Leasing of property for use as	other", which has been mentioned by
	as residence along with basic	residence along with basic	the AAR but conveniently ignored



Citation	Ruling sought on?	Gist of the Ruling	SBGco Views
	amenities would qualify as composite supply under Section 2 (30) of the Karnataka Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017? b. Whether renting of property by Applicant is covered under entry 12 of the exemption notification 12/2017 (Rate) dated 28.06.17? c. If the answer to 'b' is negative, whether services by the Applicant are covered under entry 14 of the exemption notification 12/2017 (Rate) dated 28.06.17? d. Whether leasing of property for residential subletting would be covered under the exemption for residential dwelling under notification 12/2017 (Rate) dated 28.06.17?	<ul> <li>amenities", in the instant case, is covered under accommodation services, under HSN 996311 and would qualify as composite supply under Section 2(30) of the CGST/KGST Act, 2017.</li> <li>b. Renting of property by Applicant is NOT covered under entry 12 of the exemption notification as they are providing accommodation services.</li> <li>c. Entry 14 of the exemption notification 12/2017 (Rate) dated 28.06.17 would be eligible subject to fulfilment of condition that the value of supply is less than or equal to Rs. 1000 per day or equivalent.</li> <li>d. Leasing of property for residential subletting would not be covered under the exemption for residential dwelling under entry 12 Notification 12/2017-Central Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017, as the two are different and individual transactions</li> </ul>	while arriving at the above conclusion. The conclusion of AAR in the context of subletting merely because the subletting is in the course or furtherance of business also appears to be incorrect. What needs to be checked while determining the eligibility to claim exemption is that the services should be provided in relation to renting of residential dwelling for use as residence.
Aristo Bullion Pvt Ltd	The Applicant is a private limited	The Advance ruling has analysed	The AAR has bifurcated the business
	company engaged in supply of Gold	section 16 and 17 of the CGST Act, 2017.	under the same GSTIN. Whether such
GUJ/GAAR/R/15/2021	(including Gold Plated with Platinum)	While analysing Section 16(1), the AAR	bifurcation is permitted or not, only
= 2021-TIOL-118-AAR- GST	unwrought or in semi-manufactured	has concluded that for the applicant to	time will tell. But this also raises a
031	forms or in powder form, based metal clad with silver, not further worked	be eligible to take ITC on any supply of goods or services, the same has to be	question as to whether each inward supply retains its colour once it is part



#### Citation Gist of the Ruling **SBGco Views Ruling sought on?** than semi-manufactured, coin etc. The used or should be intended to be used of the E. Cr. Ledger? If the Assessee in applicant also intends to procure in the course or furtherance of his multiple business under the same Castor oil seeds directly from the GSTIN, is it mandatory that E. Cr. business i.e., the nexus / connection Agriculturists and further supply the between the inputs and the final Ledger must also be artificially same. The Applicant has sought products is required to be proved. segmented into so many different Advance ruling to know whether they Since, the Applicant cannot prove as baskets? This does not seem to be to how the ITC in relation to bullions intension of the law. can use ITC balance available in the E. Cr. Ledger legitimately earned on the is used or intended to be used in the inputs / raw materials / inward course or furtherance of his business supplies (meant for outward supply of of supply of Castor oil seeds, the AAR Bullions) towards the GST liability on has concluded that the applicant is not 'Castor Oil Seed' which would be eligible to utilise the ITC available in procured from Agriculturists and their E. Cr. Ledger for the supply of Castor oil seeds. subsequently meant for onward supply?



#### Compliance Chart for the month of May 2021

S N	Due Date	Form	Period	Periodicity	Special Remarks
1.	06.05.2021	GSTR - 3B	January 2021 to	Quarterly	To be filed by those under QRMP Scheme (#) without interest and late fees
			March 2021		(refer Pt 3 & 4 of " <u>What's New?</u> " section)
2.	08.05.2021	GSTR - 3B	January 2021 to	Quarterly	To be filed by those under QRMP Scheme (\$) without interest and late fees
			March 2021		(refer Pt 3 & 4 of " <u>What's New?</u> " section)
3.	10.05.2021	GSTR – 7	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by those who are required to deduct TDS under GST
4.	10.05.2021	GSTR – 8	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by those who are required to collect TCS under GST
5.	13.05.2021	GSTR – 6	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by an Input Service Distributor
6.	20.05.2021	GSTR – 3B	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by Taxpayer having $T/o > 5$ Cr in Previous FY without interest
					and late fees (refer Pt 3 & 4 of " <u>What's New?</u> " section)
7.	20.05.2021	GSTR – 5A	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by non-resident Online Information and Database Access or
					Retrieval (OIDAR) services provider
8.	20.05.2021	GSTR – 5	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by a non-resident foreign taxpayer registered in GST
9.	26.05.2021	GSTR – 1	April 2021	Monthly	Taxpayers filing GSTR - 1 monthly
10.	28.05.2021	IFF – B2B	April 2021	Monthly	To be filed by those under QRMP Scheme

S. B. GABHAWALLA & CO.

Please note: For taxpayers, with Monthly GSTR 3B but having T/o < 5 Cr in preceding FY, the due date to file GSTR - 3B for April 2021 would be 4<sup>th</sup> June 2021, without interest and late fees (refer Pt 3 & 4 of "<u>What's New?</u>" section).

(#) Last date for filing return without late fees and interest for the states of Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Goa, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, the Union Territories of Daman and Diu and Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Puducherry, Andaman and Nicobar Islands and Lakshadweep.

(\$) Last date for filing return without late fees and interest for the states of Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Haryana, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Manipur, Mizoram, Tripura, Meghalaya, Assam, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Odisha, the Union Territories of Jammu and Kashmir, Ladakh, Chandigarh and Delhi.



#### Disclaimer

S. B. GABHAWALLA & CO.

This newsletter is for general public information and knowledge sharing. In case any clarifications required, you may connect with us at:

Sunil Gabhawalla @ <u>sunil@sbgco.in</u> Yash Parmar @ <u>yash@sbgco.in</u> Parth Shah @ <u>parth@sbgco.in</u> Darshan Ranavat @ <u>darshan@sbgco.in</u> Prakash Dave @ <u>prakash@sbgco.in</u> Aman Haria @ <u>aman@sbgco.in</u>

Our office address:

S B Gabhawalla & Co., 802-803 Sunteck Grandeur Off S V Road, Opp Subway Andheri West Mumbai 400058 Landline – 022 – 66515100 Web: www.sbgco.in

Want to stay connected, join our Whatsapp group by clicking on the link - https://chat.whatsapp.com/KJRD8SHyjSK5FUkFj8Of4t