

Greetings to all our readers!!

We wish that we find you in good health & spirits.

As we move towards the end of 2020, we are also moving into that zone where there have been so many changes, amendments, extensions, relaxations in various laws that it is difficult to keep track of all the things happening simultaneously along with businesses now slowing resuming to normalcy in phased manner all across the nation. Not just indirect taxes, but even in direct taxes, there have been slew of changes and hence, it is important to sort and filter out the relevant and applicable changes to one's own business and ensure timelines are met well within the prescribed due dates.

One important change that was brought about from 01.10.2020 was introduction of E-invoice for companies having a turnover of Rs. 500 crores and above in any of the financial years starting from FY 2017-18. The law also states that if E-invoice provisions are applicable to a particular registered tax payer, then any tax invoice which does not comply the provision of E-invoice shall not be a valid invoice. In such a case, there is one school of thought to say that input tax credit may be questioned in the hands of buyer as well. Hence, as a recipient of goods and service, one must be vigilant about their own vendors lest input tax credit may be disallowed by adjudicating officers. Further, the Government has also indicated that E-invoice may be made applicable for companies having turnover of Rs. 100 crores and above from January 2021.

Year 2020 has been unique for all. Businesses have borne the maximum brunt of it due to the shutting down of operations and the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic induced lockdown. But things are now turning to open up and we look at resurrection of the business and as a result, the economy. This month, we also look forward to celebrate the festival of Diwali, which also marks the end of the year gone by and beginning of a new year. We hope that this New Year brings a new perspective for all and everyone can start afresh, keeping aside the difficult times of 2020.

Through this newsletter, we bring to you a summary of recent developments in GST, divided into following sections:

- 1. Recent Notifications, circulars & press-releases
- 2. Recent decisions from the Judiciary
- 3. Recent Advance Rulings and analysis of the same
- 4. Compliance Chart for the month of November 2020

We look forward to hearing from you for any feedback or suggestion for improvements. Wishing you all a Happy & a Safe Diwali and a Prosperous New Year, Team SBGCO



Recent Notifications, Circulars & Press-releases

1. Due Date amendments

The due date for filing Form GSTR-9 (i.e. annual return) for financial year 2018-19 has been further extended upto 31.12.2020.

[Notification No. 80/2020 - Central Tax dated 28.10.2020]

Due date for filing of GSTR 1 and GSTR 3B for October 2020 to March 2021 notified.

[Notification Nos: 74/2020, 75/2020, and 76/2020 – Central Tax, all dated 15.10.2020]

2. Late Fee Relaxation / Waiver

The late fees for delayed filing of GSTR-4 for quarters from July 2017 to March 2019 have been restricted to Rs. 250/- (under CGST i.e. Rs. 500/- in total) if the same is filed between 22.09.2020 to 31.10.2020. The late fees payable shall be NIL in case the amount of tax payable is NIL for a particular period.

[Notification No. 67/2020 – Central Tax dated 21.09.2020 read with corrigendum issued on 22.09.2020]

Similarly, the late fees for delayed filing of GSTR-10 (Final Return) have been restricted to Rs. 250/- (under CGST i.e. Rs. 500/- in total) where the same is filed between 22.09.2020 to 31.12.2020.

[Notification No. 68/2020 – Central Tax dated 21.09.2020 read with corrigendum issued on 22.09.2020]

3. Exemptions / relaxations

Exemption on services by way of transportation of goods by air (i.e. air-freight) or by sea (i.e. sea-freight) from customs station of clearance in India to a place outside India has been further extended by a period of one year i.e. upto September 30, 2021.

[Notification No. 04/2020 - Central Tax - Rate dated 30.09.2020]

Satellite launch services provided by Indian Space Research Organization, Antrix Corporation Limited and New Space India Limited have been exempted vide entry 19C.

[Notification No. 05/2020 - Central Tax - Rate dated 16.10.2020]

Annual Return made optional for small taxpayers whose aggregate turnover is less than Rs 2 crores for FY 2019-20.

[Notification No. 77/2020 - Central Tax dated 15.10.2020]

The aggregate turnover limit for furnishing a copy of audited annual accounts and a reconciliation statement duly certified in FORM GSTR-9C has been set at Rs. 5 Crore for FY 2019-20 as well by amending Rule 80 (3). Further, modification / changes made in existing Form GSTR 9 and 9C so as to make it relevant and applicable for FY 2019-20 as well.

[Notification No. 79/2020 - Central Tax dated 15.10.2020]

Restriction / blocking of generation of E-Way bill facility shall not apply for the period 20.03.2020 till 15.10.2020 in case returns are not filed by registered tax-payers by virtue of insertion of 4th proviso to Rule 138E

[Notification No. 79/2020 - Central Tax dated 15.10.2020]

4. HSN Code on tax invoices

The number of digits of HSN code to be reflected in tax invoices to be issued from 01.04.2021 has been revised as under:

	Aggregate Turnover in the preceding Financial Year	Number of Digits of HSN code
1.	Upto 5 Crore	4 digits
2.	Above 5 Crore	6 digits

Further, exemption from reflecting HSN code in tax invoices if issued to unregistered persons (i.e. B2C supplies).

[Notification No. 78/2020 - Central Tax dated 15.10.2020]

5. E-invoicing related amendments

a. E-invoicing and Dynamic QR Code, which was earlier applicable to a taxpayer having aggregate turnover of more than Rs 500 crores in FY 2019-20, has now been amended to apply to any taxpayer who had aggregate turnover more than Rs 500 crores in any financial year since the introduction of GST.

- b. E-invoicing is now made applicable on Exports (with / without payment) along with earlier list of applicable transactions viz. supply registered persons (B2B), Supplies to SEZs (with/without payment), Deemed Exports by the notified class of taxpayers.
- c. The implementation of dynamic QR code for B2C transactions has been postponed to 01.12.2020 from 01.10.2020.
- d. QR code having embedded Invoice Reference Number (IRN) has been made mandatory requirement of invoice particulars (in Rule 46 of CGST Rule, 2017) in case where E-invoice is mandatory for notified class of taxpayers.
- e. Similarly, amendment is made in the list of documents to be carried by person-in-charge of conveyance, whereby invoice issued in the manner prescribed for E-invoice [i.e. under rule 48(4)], the Quick Reference (QR) code having an embedded Invoice Reference Number (IRN) in it can be produced electronically instead of physical copy of such tax invoice.
- f. Special relaxation has been granted vide the said notification for tax payers to whom E-invoice generation was made applicable from o1.10.2020., whereby, such tax payers could obtain an Invoice Reference Number (IRN) for their tax invoices by uploading specified particulars in FORM GST INV-01 on the Common GST Portal, within thirty days from the date of such invoice (instead of the regular 24-hour window). The said relaxation has been granted only for the 1st month of implementation of E-invoice.

[Notification No. 70/2020, 71/2020, 72/2020 & 73/2020 - Central Tax dated 30.09.2020]



Recent Decisions from the Judiciary

Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
BG Exploration and	The Appellant was engaged in	The Hon'ble Tribunal has observed that	The Hon'ble Tribunal has
Production India Ltd vs.	exploration, development and	parties to the PSC' constituted a joint	equated obligation of each
Commissioner of Service	production of hydrocarbons within	venture where each party was saddled	member of the joint venture to
Tax (Audit-I)	the framework of 'production sharing	with certain responsibilities and the	their capital contribution to
	contract' (PSC)' entered into by	deployment of personnel by the	the same. Further, the
2020-TIOL-1510-	Government of India with M/s ONGC,	appellant was in pursuance of the said	Tribunal has relied on
CESTAT-MUM	M/s RIL and themselves.	obligation.	precedents wherein it has been
			held that activities done by a
	In the said PSC, GOI brings in its rights	The Hon'ble Tribunal also noted that	partner for the furtherance of
	over the resources, ONGC handled	the activity undertaken by the appellant	business are solely for his own
	contracts and documentation and RIL	with its cost equivalence recorded in the	interest to earn rewards in the
	managed financial and commercial	books is nothing but 'capital	nature of profits for the risks
	requirements and the Appellants were	contribution' and such capital	undertaken by him and do not
	vested with the responsibility for	contributions are NOT 'consideration'	constitute an independent
	technical operations. The Appellants	for rendering of any taxable service as	service.
	had recorded the cost equivalence of	the fulfilment of obligation to	
	their contribution in their books as	contribute to the capital of the joint	This is an interesting judgment
	revenue for accounting purposes,	venture is beyond the scope of taxation	and its' applicability in the
	which was alleged to be the value of	under Finance Act, 1994.	context of GST would be
	taxable services provided by the		something to look out for,
	Appellants and tax was sought to be		especially where Ind – AS
	recovered from them on the same.		accounts are maintained in
I Cl D. I. I			case of BOT contracts.
Lanco Solar Pvt Ltd vs	The Appellant is a developer in the	Relying on the decision in the case of	The Hon'ble Tribunal has read
Commissioner, Central	SEZ. When they had filed application	Intas Pharma Ltd. vs. Commissioner of	down the condition specified
Tax, Central Excise	for refund, SCN was issued to deny the	Service Tax, Ahmedabad - 2013-TIOL-	in the notification that
Customs	refund claimed on account of the same	1091-CESTAT-AHM, the Hon'ble	restricted the claim of refund
	being time bar (invoices submitted	Tribunal held that exemption provided	to invoices dated prior to 12
	were more than 12 months old as on	under SEZ Act have an overarching	months from the date of filing



Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views
2020-TIOL-1480- CESTAT-DEL	the date of filing of the refund application)	effect over the Finance Act, 1994 and since, there is no overriding condition in Finance Act, 1994 that eclipses the SEZ Act, any notification that restricts the claim of refund in service tax for SEZ unit/ Developer shall not hold good.	of refund application. Since section 51 of the SEZ Act, overrides the provisions of the Finance Act, 1994, any notification issued under the Finance Act, 1994 too would not hold good against the exemption provided under the SEZ Act. It remains to be seen if this decision will continue to hold good under GST regime?
Siemens Technology and Services Pvt Ltd vs. Commissioner of CGST	The Appellants have provided output services which has been exported outside India (export of Information	The Hon'ble Tribunal has observed that Rule 5 of Service Tax Rules, 2005 read along with the notifications issued in	The CESTAT has rightly allowed the appeal of the appellants on the grounds that
2020-TIOL-1519- CESTAT-MUM	Technology Services) and the same has been accepted by the Department as well. The refund claim of the appellant was rejected on the grounds of, inter alia, that appellant did not provide proof of nexus / co-relation between the services received by it and the export of output services.	this regard, do not provide any stipulation or embargo that one-to-one co-relation or nexus has to be established between the input and exported output services. Further, The Hon'ble Tribunal also relied on circular 334/1/2012 – TRU dated 16.03.2012 issued by CBEC, that stated, inter alia, co-relation between the input and export of output services cannot be insisted upon while granting refund.	there is no direct nexus that needs to be established between input services and output export services. Any cost which is a part of profit and loss statement has been incurred for the business and such expenses, if eligible for claim of credit, must also be eligible for claim of refund.
Transtonnelstroy Afcons Joint Venture and	The Petitioners were engaged in businesses wherein the rate of tax on input goods and/or input services	The Hon'ble High Court has noted that Refund is a statutory right and the extension of the benefit of refund only	Vide this judgement, the Madras Bench has differed with the decision of the DB of



Citation	Facts of the case	Gist of the Judgment	SBGco Views	
Others vs. Union of exceeded the rate of tax on output		accumulated credit on account of input	Gujarat HC which has in the	
India and Others	supplies (i.e. Inverted duty structure).	goods is a valid classification and a valid	case of VKC Footsteps India	
	Due to the inverted duty structure,	exercise of legislative power. The	Pvt Ltd vs. Union of India	
2020-TIOL-1599-HC-	they were not able to utilize the Input	exclusion of accumulated credit on	[2020-TIOL-1273-HCAHM-	
MAD-GST	tax credit completely against their	account of input services does not	GST] allowed the petitioners	
	output liability, leading to	infringe Article 14 (Equality before Law)	claim.	
	accumulation of credits.	of the Constitution of India.		
		The High Court also observed that Rule	The issue is now surely to go to	
	While Rule 54 (3) did not contain any	89(5) of the CGST Rules 2017 is in	the Supreme Court and it	
	express restriction on claim of refund	conformity with section 54(3) of the	remains to be seen as to how	
	on account of input services, the	CGST Act, 2017.	fast a finality to this	
	formula prescribed u/r 89(5) of the		controversy is arrived at.	
CGST Rules, 2017 did not permit the				
claim of refund of 'input services'				
	being accumulated on account of			
	inverted duty structure. Being			
	aggrieved, the Petitioners had filed a			
	Writ Petition to challenge the said rule			
	and contested that they were entitled			
	to a refund of the entire unutilised			
	input tax credit, irrespective of			
whether such credit accumulated on				
	account of procurement of input			
	goods and/or input services.			



Recent Advance Rulings

Citation	Ruling sought on?	Gist of the Ruling	SBGco Views
M/s. Ambara	The Applicant are engaged in providing	a. Yes, ITC is required to be restricted on	The ruling pronounced by
Wi/S. Ailibara	'health care services' and run a hospital by	•	the AAR is in consonance
VAD ADDC = /aaaa		medicines supplied to patients admitted to	
KAR ADRG 51/2020	the name of CURA Hospital. The	the hospital because output services in the	with the general practice
= 2020-TIOL-266-	Applicant sought advance ruling in	nature of 'health care services' supplied by	that is being followed in the
AAR-GST dated	respect of the following questions:	applicant are exempt.	health care industry at
08.10.2020	a. Whether input tax credit is required to	b. Yes, ITC is required to be restricted on	large.
	be restricted on medicines supplied to	medicines supplied to out-patients since	
	patients admitted in hospital?	output services to out-patients in the course	
	b. Whether input tax credit is required to	of providing 'health care services' supplied by	
	be restricted on medicines supplied to	applicant are exempt.	
	patients treated as out-patients?	c. ITC is eligible in this case because medicines	
	c. Whether input tax credit is required to	sold from pharmacy counter to customers	
	be restricted on medicines supplied to	are liable to tax as per normal rates (acting in	
	other than inpatients and out-	the capacity of a 'trader')	
	patients?	d. Yes, ITC is required to be restricted on supply	
	d. Whether input tax is required to be	of food and beverages to the patients	
	restricted on supply of food and	admitted in hospital as the same is naturally	
	beverages to the patients admitted in	bundled with the treatment i.e. health care	
	hospital?	service and the supply becomes composite	
	1	supply which are exempted under GST.	
Datacon	The applicant was awarded a contract by	Since examination is an incomplete activity	The AAR has correctly
Technologies	Bihar School Educational Board for	without assessment, thus scanning of answer	interpreted that conduct of
	activities of scanning of OMR Flying slip,	sheets and / or qualifying marks is an integral part	examination is not
KAR ADRG 47/2020	OMR Marks Foil, OMR attendance sheet,	of conduct of examination. Therefore, the	restricted to test centres.
= 2020-TIOL-259-	OMR absentee sheet and finalisation of	activities carried out by the applicant, as states in	Also, from the perspective
AAR-GST	data. The Applicant sought advance	the facts, are exempted by virtue of Sr. No. 66 of	of students and educational
	ruling as regards to whether the activities	Notif No. 12/2017-CT (Rate) dated 28.06.2017	institutions, assessment is
	performed by them are exempted by		not an isolated activity but



Citation	Ruling sought on?	Gist of the Ruling	SBGco Views
	virtue of Sr. No 66 Notif No. 12/2017-		an integral part of the
	Central Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017?		conduct of examination.
Maninder Singh	Numaligarh Refinery Ltd ('NRL') has	a. Since the applicant is making a composite	The Applicant had
	awarded the applicant the contract for the	supply involving the transfer of property in	proposed to consider NRL
2020-TIOL-264-	installation of pipeline from Siliguri	goods in the course of the construction of	as an implementation
AAR-GST	(India) to Parbatipur (Bangladesh). The	immovable property (i.e. pipeline), therefore,	agency only and consider
	following questions were raised:	applicant's supply is works contract service.	Bangladesh Petroleum
	a. Whether its supply is works contract	b. (i) NRL is the 'recipient' located in India, since	Corporation of Bangladesh
	service?	NRL is paying the consideration.	as the recipient of service so
	b. Whether the supply of service to NRL	(ii) as per proviso to section 12(3) of IGST Act,	as to be eligible for benefit
	in Bangladesh is an export and exempt	Place of Supply will be location of NRL	of export of services. In the
	under the GST Act?	Thus, conditions of 'export' are not satisfied.	present case, the AAR has
	c. If (b) is no, then what is the tax rate?	c. Since NRL is not a Government entity, rate of	correctly understood
	d. Whether applicant is entitled to ITC	tax applicable will be 18% (concessional rate in	definition of 'recipient' as
	on its inward supplies for the service	terms of Entry No. 3(iii)(c) of the Rate	per section 2(93) of CGST
	rendered in the construction of	Notification is, therefore, unavailable)	and accordingly correct
	Bangladesh portion of the pipeline?	d. Since, applicant will be paying tax on the	place of supply provisions
	e. Whether applicant is liable to pay tax	outward supply, ITC shall be eligible on	have been applied to
	on goods or services procured locally	procurements subject to the conditions laid	determine the nature of
	within Bangladesh for construction of		supply.
	Bangladesh portion of the pipeline?	e. For procurements in Bangladesh for Portion of	
	f. Whether the applicant is entitled to	pipeline in Bangladesh, since such goods do	
	ITC on procurement of such goods or	not cross the custom frontier of India, the same	
	services in Bangladesh used in the	shall not attract IGST.	
	construction of Bangladesh portion of		
	the pipeline?	on procurements from Bangladesh, the	
	g. If all the queries come out with	question of claiming ITC on the same does not	
	responses that led the applicant	arise.	
	taxable, then what will be the proper	g. GST shall be payable on the consideration	
	method of valuation of tax?	receivable for the applicant's service	



G:	D 1. 0	C' . (.1 D !)	CDC V
Citation	Ruling sought on?	Gist of the Ruling	SBGco Views
Midcon Polymers Private Limited KAR ADRG 48/2020 = 2020-TIOL-260- AAR-GST dated 16.09.2020	The Applicant planned for engaging in the business of renting of commercial property on monthly rents. They raised the following questions before the AAR: a. For arriving at the value of rental income, whether the applicant can seek deduction of property taxes and other statutory levies? b. For arriving at total income from rental, whether notional interest on the security deposit should be taken into consideration? c. Whether the applicant is entitled for exemption of tax under the general exemption of Rs.20 lakhs?	a. The applicant can't deduct the property taxes and other statutory levies for the purpose of arriving at the value of rental income as section 15(2) of CGST states that any taxes, duties, cesses, fees and charges, levied under any law for the time being in force, shall include in the value of taxable supply. Further, the exclusion only permitted are the taxes, duties, cesses, fees and charges levied under the CGST Act 2017, SGST (KGST) Act 2017, UTGST Act 2017 & GST (Compensation to States) Act. b. The notional interest on the security deposit shall be taken into consideration, for the purposes of arriving at total income from rental, only if it influences the value supply c. The applicant is entitled for exemption of tax under the general exemption of Rs.20 lakhs, subject to the condition that their annual turnover, which includes monthly rent and notional interest, if it influences the value of supply, does not exceed the threshold limit	In the present case, the agreement between applicant and their lessee seems to have not been analysed. If the contract specifies that lessee is required to pay the property taxes and other statutory duties, then there is a possible interpretation that as per Rule 33 of CGST Rules, the Applicant is merely a 'pure agent' and paying property taxes and other statutory duties on behalf of the lessee, provided the property taxes and other statutory duties are recovered at actuals from the lessee. Further, whether 'notional' interest can be a part of 'consideration' or not, is debatable as the current AAR relies on past judgements of pre-GST regime and whether the same shall hold good or not, only time will tell.



Compliance Chart for the month of November 2020

SN	Due Date	Form	Period	Periodicity	Special Remarks
1.	10.11.2020	GSTR - 7	October 2020	Monthly	To be filed by those who are required to deduct TDS under GST
2.	10.11.2020	GSTR – 8	October 2020	Monthly	To be filed by those who are required to deduct TCS under GST
3.	11.11.2020	GSTR – 1	October 2020	Monthly	Taxpayers filing GSTR - 1 monthly
4.	13.11.2020	GSTR – 6	October 2020	Monthly	To be filed by an Input Service Distributor
5.	20.11.2020	GSTR - 3B	October 2020	Monthly	Taxpayers having Aggregate T/o of > 5Cr in FY 2019-20
6.	20.11.2020	GSTR – 5A	October 2020	Monthly	To be filed by non-resident Online Information and Database Access
					or Retrieval (OIDAR) services provider
7.	20.11.2020	GSTR - 5	October 2020	Monthly	To be filed by a non-resident foreign taxpayer registered in GST
8.	22.11.2020	GSTR – ₃ B	October 2020	Monthly	Taxpayers having Aggregate T/o of < 5Cr in FY 2019-20 (#)
9.	24.11.2020	GSTR – 3B	October 2020	Monthly	Taxpayers having Aggregate T/o of < 5Cr in FY 2019-20 (\$)

^(#) Last date for filing return without late fees and interest for the states of Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Goa, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, the Union Territories of Daman and Diu and Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Puducherry, Andaman and Nicobar Islands and Lakshadweep.

^(\$) Last date for filing return without late fees and interest for the states of Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Haryana, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Manipur, Mizoram, Tripura, Meghalaya, Assam, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Odisha, the Union Territories of Jammu and Kashmir, Ladakh, Chandigarh and Delhi.

Disclaimer

This newsletter is for general public information and knowledge sharing. In case any clarifications required, you may connect with us at:

Sunil Gabhawalla @ sunil@sbgco.in
Yash Parmar @ yash@sbgco.in
Parth Shah @ parth@sbgco.in
Darshan Ranavat @ darshan@sbgco.in
Prakash Dave @ prakash@sbgco.in
Aman Haria @ aman@sbgco.in

Our office address:

S B Gabhawalla & Co.,

802-803 Sunteck Grandeur

Off S V Road, Opp Subway

Andheri West Mumbai 400058

Landline - 022 - 66515100

Web: www.sbgco.in